



Environmental  
Protection Agency

John R. Kasich, Governor  
Mary Taylor, Lt. Governor  
Scott J. Nally, Director

August 6, 2012

Mr. Jon Davis  
Werner Enterprises Inc  
4395 Laybourne Road  
Springfield, Ohio 45505

**RE: Storm Water Associated with Industrial Activity  
Industrial Storm Water Compliance Evaluation Inspection  
NPDES No. OHR000005/1GR00663\*EG**

Dear Mr. Davis:

On July 25, 2012, Sandra Leibfritz conducted an inspection at Werner Enterprises located at 4395 Laybourne Road, Springfield, Ohio. You were representing the facility. Details on the inspection may be obtained from the enclosed inspection report.

There are two inlets to the detention basin that are visually inspected on a daily basis, but a sample is not assessed for water characteristics listed in Section 4.2.1 (page 19) and documented as per Section 4.2.2 (page 19 and 20 of NPDES No. OHR000005). Werner Enterprises must revise the inspection form to include a quarterly visual assessment that is required by Section 4.

No later than September 30, 2012 (i.e., the end of this calendar quarter), Werner Enterprises must provide written notification and documentation that a visual assessment was conducted on both inlets to the detention pond.

If you should have any questions about the inspection, please call Ms. Leibfritz at (937) 285-6104 or me at (937) 285-6034.

Sincerely,

Martyn G. Burt  
Environmental Supervisor  
Division of Surface Water

MGB/tf

cc: Clark County Health Department



State of Ohio Environmental Protection Agency  
Southwest District Office

Industrial Storm Water Compliance Evaluation Inspection

Name of facility: **Werner Enterprises Inc**

Address: **4395 Laybourne Road Springfield, Ohio 45505**

Permit number: **1GR00663\*EG**

Applicable permit sector: **P**

Date of visit: **July 25, 2012**

Time started: **8:55 a.m.**

Time ended: **10:10 a.m.**

Facility representative(s): **Jon Davis, Terminal Manager**

OEPA inspector: **Sandra D. Leibfritz**

SWP3

A. Did the facility representative produce an SWP3?

**Yes. The SWP3 plan is dated December 2003. In a letter dated July 24, 2012, Werner requested their consultant, Seneca Companies, to update their spcc and SWP3 plan.**

A1. Did it include a site map? **Yes**

A2. Did it include schedules and procedures for the quarterly routine facility inspections?

**Yes. However, monthly and weekly inspections are conducted.**

A3. Did it include schedules and procedures for the comprehensive annual facility inspection? **Yes.**

A4. Did it include schedules and procedures for the quarterly visual assessment of storm water discharges?

**No. All the drainage on the property is diverted to the storm water detention basin. There is no outlet from the basin; however, ODNR well logs indicate that sand and gravel is located a foot beneath the surface. Jon Davis inspects the basin daily; however, the log notes comments are only noted on those days when he sees something.**

A5. If benchmark monitoring is required, does the SWP3 describe how and when that will be done?

**NA**

Comments:

**There are 2 o/w separators on site. One serves the wash bay and the other serves the maintenance bay. Both of these ultimately discharge to Springfield's sanitary sewer.**

**The terminal serves approximately 1,500 trucks per month with 1 to 2 drivers per truck. There are approximately 85 employees on-site.**

Inspection records:

- B. Were inspection records available?

**Yes. The facility maintains computer records. The server is maintained in Omaha, Nebraska. Printouts are available upon request. Records must be maintained for a period of at least 3 years from the date that your coverage under this permit expires or is terminated.**

Site Observations

- C. Are materials stored exposed to weather?

If Yes, list materials.

**There are two outdoor storage areas that are covered with a metal roof. These areas store oil filters in a water-tight roll-off container, drums of antifreeze on a containment pallet, used batteries, lubricants in drums and scrap metal.**

- D. Are there any structural storm water management practices used onsite? Examples include grassed swales, permeable pavement, inlet filters, detention ponds, engineered wetlands, mulch berms, silt fence, rain gardens.

**Yes, there is a detention pond.**

- E. Number of outfalls from site/number inspected:

**There are no storm water outfalls from the site. There are two inlets to the detention basin that were inspected. There was no visual observation of staining or discoloration of the soil and/or rock in the pipe or beneath the inlets.**

- G. Did any show evidence of pollutants discharged in the storm water? **No.**

If yes, describe:

- H. Other observations/comments:

**There is a UST marked as used oil adjacent to one of the storage areas and there is one UST marked as 15W-40W oil.**