



**Environmental  
Protection Agency**

John R. Kasich, Governor  
Mary Taylor, Lt. Governor  
Scott J. Nally, Director

RE: **Notice of Violation**  
Van Wert County  
Ohio City WWTP/WTP

February 7, 2012

Mr. Jeff Krugh  
WWTP Superintendent  
Village of Ohio City  
P.O. Box 248  
Ohio City, Ohio 45874

Dear Mr. Krugh:


We are in receipt of your self-monitoring reports covering the months of October through December 2011 for the Ohio City WWTP and the Ohio City WTP. Our review indicates violations of the conditions of both NPDES permits. The specific instances of noncompliance are enclosed on separate sheets. Further review of both sets of self-monitoring reports for the previous six months, ending in December 2010, indicates that you are in significant non-compliance (SNC) with two effluent limitations contained in your NPDES permits. The specific instances of SNC are enclosed on separate sheets.

We are aware that the WTP's discharge has been connected to the Village's sanitary sewer system and require no further response for the violations related to the WTP. Please inform this office in writing as to the reasons for the above referenced violations at the WWTP, as well as a description of the actions taken or proposed to prevent any further violations. Your response should include the dates, either actual or proposed, for completion of the actions.

Please be advised that failure to comply with the effluent limitations and/or monitoring requirements, including adequate laboratory controls, appropriate quality assurance procedures, and records retention, as specified in your Part III-General conditions of your NPDES permit may be cause for enforcement action pursuant to Ohio Revised Code, Chapter 6111. If these violations continue to occur and if satisfactory progress is not made, it may be necessary to initiate enforcement action to achieve compliance.

The Ohio EPA strongly encourages pollution prevention as the preferred approach for waste management. The first priority of pollution prevention is to eliminate the generation of wastes and pollutants at the source (source reduction). For those wastes or pollutants that are generated, the second priority is to recycle or reuse them in an environmentally sound manner. You can benefit economically, help preserve the environment, and improve your public image by implementing pollution prevention programs. Information about Ohio EPA's Office of Compliance Assistance & Pollution Prevention can be found on Ohio EPA's Website at <http://epa.ohio.gov/ocapp> or by calling (614) 644 – 3469.

If there are any questions, please contact me at (419) 373 – 3022.

Yours truly,  
  
Justin A. Williams  
Division of Surface Water

/jlm  
Enclosures  
ec: Inspection Tracking

Get New Data

Violations for Ohio City WWTW - October - December 2011

Permit No	Reporting Period	Station	Reporting Code	Parameter	Limit Type	Limit	Reported Value	Violation Date
2PB00030*ID	November 2011	001	00530	Total Suspended Solids	7D Qty	10.3	19.4345	11/22/2011
2PB00030*ID	November 2011	001	00530	Total Suspended Solids	30D Qty	6.8	14.7406	11/1/2011
2PB00030*ID	December 2011	001	00530	Total Suspended Solids	7D Qty	10.3	14.5563	12/15/2011
2PB00030*ID	December 2011	001	80082	CBOD 5 day	30D Qty	5.7	6.88255	12/1/2011
2PB00030*ID	December 2011	001	00530	Total Suspended Solids	7D Qty	10.3	42.6197	12/1/2011
2PB00030*ID	December 2011	001	00530	Total Suspended Solids	30D Qty	6.8	16.2985	12/1/2011
2PB00030*ID	October 2011	001	80082	CBOD 5 day	7D Qty	8.6	14.2357	10/15/2011
2PB00030*ID	October 2011	001	00530	Total Suspended Solids	7D Qty	10.3	55.3215	10/15/2011
2PB00030*ID	October 2011	001	00530	Total Suspended Solids	7D Conc	18.0	23.2	10/15/2011
2PB00030*ID	October 2011	001	00530	Total Suspended Solids	30D Qty	6.8	15.8918	10/1/2011

Get New Data

Get Detail for Selected Permit

## Facilities in Significant Non-Compliance \*\*

Period: Jul-11 Dec-11

County	Permit #	Facility Name	Major	Station Code	Param Code	Parameter Name	Max % Exceed	# Months Signif Exceed (1)**	# Months Exceed (2)**
Van Wert	2PB00030	Ohio City WWTP			1 00530	Total Suspended Solids	437.1	4	4

### Footnotes

#### for Significant NonCompliance

\*\* Significant non-compliance with effluent limits occurred if one or more pollutants met the following conditions:

1. During 2 or more months, the reported effluent concentration (or computed load) for a parameter exceeded an effluent limit by:
  - a. 40% for Group 1 pollutants **plus Fecal Coliform**
  - b. 20% for Group 2 pollutants **plus pH, Temperature, whole effluent toxicity**
 -- or --
2. During 4 or more months, the reported concentration (or computed load) exceeded the limit by > 1%.

Note: This method differs from USEPA Significant Non-compliance (SNC) method in the following ways:

- USEPA only evaluates SNC for average limits. In above analyses, maximum and weekly limits were also considered.

Get New Data

# Violations for Ohio City WTP - October - December 2011

Permit No	Reporting Period	Station	Reporting Code	Parameter	Limit Type	Limit	Reported Value	Violation Date
2IW00038*BD	November 2011	002	00515	Residue, Total Dissolv	1D Conc	1500	6040.	11/4/2011
2IW00038*BD	October 2011	002	00515	Residue, Total Dissolv	1D Conc	1500	6510.	10/4/2011

Get New  
Data

Get Detail  
for Selected  
Permit

## Facilities in Significant Non-Compliance \*\*

Period: Jul-11 Dec-11

County	Permit #	Facility Name	Major	Station Code	Param Code	Parameter Name	Max % Exceed	# Months Signif Exceed (1)**	# Months Exceed (2)**
Van Wert	2IW00038	Ohio City WTP			2 00515	Residue, Total Dissolved	340.7	4	4

### Footnotes

#### for Significant NonCompliance

\*\* Significant non-compliance with effluent limits occurred if one or more pollutants met the following conditions:

1. During 2 or more months, the reported effluent concentration (or computed load) for a parameter exceeded an effluent limit by:
  - a. 40% for Group 1 pollutants **plus Fecal Coliform**
  - b. 20% for Group 2 pollutants **plus pH, Temperature, whole effluent toxicity**

-- or --

2. During 4 or more months, the reported concentration (or computed load) exceeded the limit by > 1%.

Note: This method differs from USEPA Significant Non-compliance (SNC) method in the following ways:

- USEPA only evaluates SNC for average limits. In above analyses, maximum and weekly limits were also considered.