

Air Permitting LIVE
05/20/2010
9:30 - 11:30AM

<https://ohioepa.webex.com>

- Meeting found under "Meeting Center"
- Password for meeting: **ilovecoffee**
- Bridge Line - (614) 644-1097

Agenda

Permitting Questions/Answers in Stars2		Primary
1	<p>Stars2/Air Services Version 1.25 - To be deployed Friday 5/21 AM</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - More Q/A on PTI/PTIO Apps - Permit Reason added to the results of the Workflow search - EU Permit Status/EU PTI Status no longer in EU facility profile (use Permit History) - No Lat/Long request when shutting down a facility - Overdue PERs in Mgmt Reports - EU Permit Status in Permit Search - Warning Text for Mgmt Reports - Generate button for Response to Comments - Flow Factor Enhancement - TVCC Overdue Task when Cert not due or already submitted - Profile to mark EU/Facility as operating if a "begin operation" date is entered. This includes if it happens via PER entry. 	Luksik/Engel-Ishida
2	<p>Electronic reporting requirement of SMTV facilities; some people think that only permitting and fee reporting are required to be electronic and some feel all reporting such as PER and quarterly must be done electronically.</p>	Thomas
3	<p>NEDO Question: Erik Bewely noticed that he was able to change the status of a TV FER that had been approved a year ago... The facility was Manhoning landfill 0250000840. Are we able., and should we be able to, make these changes after they are approved?</p> <p>Elisa Thomas Answer: When a STARS2 emissions report reviewer marks a report as, "Approved" OR "Approved-Revision Needed" , it does the same thing....meaning STARS2 will create an invoice object so that it will show up on my invoicing task list. The only difference between these two then is that Erik, by changing the state from Approved to Approved-Revision Needed, is that we can query which sites we expect to get a new revised report for so that we don't lose track of it.</p> <p>So, I think it makes sense that you can/should be able to change the state, because both of the Approve states allow me to invoice. The only difference is that "revision requested" tracks so that a user down the line can find a mistake and know a revision is needed but should go forth w/ invoicing.</p> <p>Now, if you click "Approve" and it turns out you made a mistake and DO NOT want me to invoice the report, then you should have clicked the "Revision Requested" button and not choose one of the "approve" type buttons for the report. Those should be marked instead as "Revision Requested".</p> <p>In stars2, someone cannot click "Approve" a report and then go back and click the "Revision Requested" button. You'd have to click "Approve-Revision Requested"</p>	Thomas
4	<p>SOB, Response to Comments & Permit Strategy Write-Up must use new format or will be looped back.</p>	Engel-Ishida

<p>5</p>	<p>Bonnie Pray - HCD OES</p> <p>Is an "Admin Mod Writeup" needed when processing an Admin Mod or is a good description of the admin mod in the Permit Description adequate?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">ADMINISTRATIVE MODIFICATION inter-office communication</p> <div style="border: 1px dashed black; padding: 5px;"> <p>to: DAPC, Air Quality Modeling and Planning</p> <p>from:</p> <p>subject:</p> <p>date:</p> <p>Choose one:</p> <p>Initiated by: <input type="checkbox"/> Permits <input type="checkbox"/> DOLAA</p> </div> <p><small>... This modification is the result of an appeal to the Environmental Review Appeals Commission.</small></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Please fill out the following:</p> <p style="text-align: center;">SUMMARY (for informational purposes only) TOTAL PERMIT TO INSTALL ALLOWABLE EMISSIONS</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="width: 50%; text-align: center;">Pollutant</th> <th style="width: 50%; text-align: center;">Tons Per Year</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="height: 20px;"> </td> <td> </td> </tr> <tr> <td style="height: 20px;"> </td> <td> </td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p><small>Please provide a fairly detailed description of the basis for the modification and how the permit is being modified:</small></p> <p style="text-align: center;">PERMIT NO. _____</p>	Pollutant	Tons Per Year					<p>Engel-Ishida/Hopkins</p>
Pollutant	Tons Per Year							
<p>6</p>	<p>Optim Environmental via Pete Sturdevant in HCD OES</p> <p>RSL wants to transfer ownership of the portable soil shredder (F008) and its IC engine (P009) to another entity. We have reviewed the Ohio EPA guidance on transfer of ownership of air permits and it is more geared toward transfer of facilities, not portable emissions units. PTI # 14-04771 covers P009 and PTI #14-05382 covers F008. The new owner would like to retain the PTIs for this equipment if possible. How should RSL proceed to accomplish this?</p>	<p>Engel-Ishida</p>						
<p>7</p>	<p>Chris Clinefelter - RAPCA</p> <p>Question regarding the 2/19/09 less than 10 ton exemption guidance for renewals and first issue operating permits.</p> <p>Question #6 in the Guidance states that you can carry forward the new language from the PTI for renewals and first issue operating permits. 2 issues.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Question #8 says you can't process a renewal. 2) Will Ohio EPA issue a first issue operating permit with the <10 ton exemption cited in the permit. 	<p>Hopkins</p>						

<p>8</p>	<p>Terri Dzienis - Canton</p> <p>I have received a list of these Source IDs with one or two words describing each letter designation (see attached). Can someone provide more elaborate definitions? Engineering Guide #25 does not define what I am looking for.</p> <p>For example: Txxx - does that only include liquid storage tanks, or does tanks/silos that contain solid materials also apply? Bxxx - does this include all fuel-fired emission units? Or only units that produce personal heat / steam for energy use? Kxxx & Rxxx - Rxxx says Spray Booth but can't Kxxx also have a booth? I was told that Kxxx is for metal coating and Rxxx is for everything else...is that really the case?</p> <p>This topic is important because STARS2 forces the reassignment of emission unit #'s when you have Z numbered EUs. I currently am completing a Title V Renewal that has 33 Z numbered EUs that all need reassignment. Also, it would make this more consistent across the state if there was one centralized document.</p> <p>-----Attachment-----</p> <p>Customer (Terri Dzienis) 04/21/2010 03:48 PM Several facility profiles contain Z EU ID numbers. However, the Z EU ID numbers generate an error during validation checks of permits, etc. The Error that is generated provides a list of characters that are valid to use: B, D, F, G, J, K, L, N, P, R, T, and X. Is there a guidance document or regulation that specifies the definition of each of these acceptable letters for EU IDs? If so, where can it be located?</p> <p>Answer 04/23/2010 01:14 PM Dear Terri, A list is provided below for the source identity codes. In addition, there is Engineering Guide 25 - "Determination of Source Nos. and Permit/Variance Fees for Fugitive Dust Sources" available. If you have any clarifying questions or one that is not straight forward you will want to contact your Central Office Permitting contact.</p> <p>B - Boiler D - Dry Cleaning F - Fugitive Dust (traditional) G - Gasoline Dispensing J - Loading Rack K - Surface Coating L - Solvent Metal Cleaning N - Incinerator P - Process R - Spray Booth T - Storage Tank</p> <p>It is not recommended to use "X" as an emissions unit ID. That was used in the past to identify groups of emissions units and is no longer used for that purpose.</p>	<p>Hopkins/Hall/ Mansour</p>
----------	--	-----------------------------------