

**Air Permitting/
Stars2 Call
04/18/2013
9:30 - 11:30AM**

<https://ohioepa.webex.com>

- Meeting found under "Meeting Center"
- Password for meeting: **stars24u**
- Call in 1-877-668-4490 Access code: 689 578 649

Agenda

#	Topic	Speaker
1	<p>NEDO - Many Chesapeake sites have now been issued their first GP. They are now requesting some changes to the permitted EUs. Some changes are of the nature where the EUs they are adding are insignificant, may not need to be added to profile, while other changes involve swapping out an engine or flare. While Z and I agree that Chesapeake can easily make changes to the facility profile via Air Services, some of the information that needs to be submitted doesn't necessarily trigger an Administrative Permit Amendment...the company doesn't want their permit modified. What Z and Ed Fasko have come up with for now, involves Chesapeake emailing these documents and then NEDO is attaching them to the original permit application. I don't think that is the best idea and am wondering if we can create an alternative Air Services mechanism to handle these notifications/changes, which will be coming in waves for NEDO and SEDO forevermore... Whatever that mechanism is, Z wants it to involve a info workflow task if possible.</p>	<p>Mike Hopkins Erica Engel-Ishida</p>
2	<p><u>eDoc & Stars2</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Record Retention Schedules • Scope of eDoc Phase 2 • Estimated Phase 2 implementation date • Where should documents get uploaded in Stars2? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ NWDO - We've had several discussions over the past couple of months about what all is supposed to go into the facility's correspondence file in STARS2 and what shouldn't. I've been asked where we should be placing responses from the facility- inspection responses, enforcement/noncompliance responses, etc. Are these types of replies to go into STARS2 at this time? ○ What about emails? ○ What about test result worksheets? 	<p>Erica Engel-Ishida Mike Ahern</p>
3	NWDO – Permit Evaluation Report (PER) needs to make the need to include deviations more prominent. How can we do that?	Erica Engel-Ishida
4	What fields in STARS2 are used to populate the permit issuance document (authorization section, etc)? Are they from the permit detail or the facility profile?	Erica Engel-Ishida
5	For permit applications submitted via Air Services: Is a "process" required for each emission unit that is part of the application, and is that included in the validation check? When are egress points required and included in the validation check?	Erica Engel-Ishida

5	<p><u>Stars2 Updates</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Stars2 version 2.0.2 may/may not be deployed Friday 4/19<ul style="list-style-type: none">○ Permit Status Report (Error when try to run NEDO)○ PER Overdue Report• Stars2 version 2.1 – shooting for May 2013 to fix 25+ bugs in Stars2• Retro Stars2 reminders – Should we review?• Reminder to staff to validate and submit emissions tests, when they are finished with entry. There are 8 out there in draft state. I know some of them are valid and likely, some just forgot to “submit” the test.• Currently staff can’t link correspondence to a Closed enforcement case. We’ll fix that in 2.1, for now, send a request to Admin so one of us can link them.	Erica Engel-Ishida
---	---	--------------------