

**Stars2/
Permitting Call
01/20/2015
9:30-11:30AM**

<https://ohioepa.webex.com>

- Meeting found under "Meeting Center"
- Password for meeting: **stars24u**
- Call in # 1-877-668-4490 Access code: 688 265 621

Agenda

#	Topic	Speaker
1	<p>Where should applicants send modelling files?</p> <p>Goals for CO modelling: Reduce processing time, ensure only CO staff is uploading modeling files into STARS2, and keep the field office in the loop.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Application instructions state to send application package to DO/LAA • Consultants that contacted CO directly regarding modelling were instructed to send CD's to CO. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Hearing from consultants that they were asked to stop sending the files to CO. ○ Concern that will further delay CO receiving the files since some of these permits are a "rush." • Would it be best if they send a letter and disk at the same time to both CO and DO/LAA? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ In what cases would DO/LAA use data sent? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ State-only modeling done with SCREEN3 can and should go directly to the DOs. ○ CO uploads everything to STARS2 after finalize the modeling and would not want the DO to also do this b/c sometimes the modeling is revised, etc. and we only want the last version uploaded. ○ Should applicants send a disc and letter to CO and perhaps a copy of the letter to the field office? In what cases? 	<p>Jennifer Van Vlerah/ Erica Engel-Ishida</p>
2	<p>Ben Halton, CDO</p> <p>If an EU at a Title V facility is subject to PBR but would qualify as a significant EU, how are the EU specific terms and conditions handled?</p>	<p>Mike Hopkins /Andrew Hall</p>
3	<p>Sara Buzas – CDAQ, FYI – Not a question.</p> <p>I have been in contact with a facility working to step down from the Title V program by completing emission calculations via the EIIP document rather than using the AP-42 factors. During one of our recent conversations, I was informed that there is a consulting firm that is reaching out to Title V companies claiming they have a "new model" that can be completed to assist them in coming down from the Title V program. The person I spoke to indicated the consulting company (they would not give me a name) had contacted them directly and indicated that the model could be completed for around \$10,000.00. They were unsure if this was a legitimate claim so they contacted another local consulting firm and asked a few questions. From what we have learned since my initial conversations, several other companies have been contacted by this firm claiming to have the "new model". CDAQ was unaware that someone has been reaching out to Title V companies and wanted to pass on the knowledge. Here at CDAQ we have a few facilities that have already contacted us with new calculations via EIIP and we feel this may be something that is going to become more common.</p>	<p>Mike Hopkins/ Andrew Hall</p>

4	<p>Duane LaClaire, ARAQMD</p> <p>What can we do with confidential records of facilities that have been shut down for a long time?</p>	Erica Engel-Ishida
5	<p><u>Important Permit Outline Word File!!!</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The DAPC permit writers' Normal.dotm needs to be in the following directory: C:\Users\%username%\AppData\Roaming\Microsoft\Templates • Click here to get to the Answer Place topic with this file and further information. • Future DAPC PCs will get deployed with this file. • ITS will create an installation bundle for DAPC staff (unknown timeline) 	Erica Engel-Ishida
6	<p>Stars2 version 2.2.X – What's coming in the first half of 2015?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Enforcement Changes • Applications, Emissions Reports, Compliance Reports, etc. to eDoc • Attachment search • Document generation overhaul • Title IV permit issuance fixed 	Erica Engel-Ishida / Linda Lazich
7	<p>Stars2 attachment & correspondence types update</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Spreadsheet & FAQ posted on Answer Place • Discussion on proposed types • Change order of Correspondence pick list? • In regards to new BAT, where should work site plans be uploaded in STARS? This question is in regards to the oil and gas GP for unpaved roadways, but the question could be asked for any facility work site plan. NEDO prefers the plan be submitted as part of the application so the 30 day submittal tracking is eliminated, but if it is not, should it be submitted as a compliance report? – Misty Koletich - NEDO 	Erica Engel-Ishida /Elisa Thomas
8	<p>General Stars2</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • New Staff Reminder - If DO/LAAs updated assigned staff in Stars2, please let Elisa know who needs to be assigned for default user roles for various counties. This will ensure correct people get assigned when a new facility is created in Stars2. • Status of adding new "De minimis w/ recordkeeping" exemption type to EU detail in STARS2 [to distinguish sources that are de minimis per 3745-15-05(B) or de minimis w/ daily records per 3745-15-05(D)]. This request was discussed during the 09/19/2013 APL most recently. – Terri Dzienis, CLAA • Review / overview of cloning procedure. What gets included, what needs added, who to contact, who can request it (companies ?,), facility to facility , or in same facility ?, where this is written. – Steve Alspach, SEDO • Errors Cloning an Emissions Test – Fix coming Friday! 01/23 • Test method added - ASTM method 6348-03 and M 320 put into the test choices. ASTM (infrared) 6348-03 for NOX, CO, VOC. 	Erica Engel-Ishida

9	<p>eDoc Update</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Final LAA access hurdle is supposed to be have solution this week. • Open Burning First • Core ID creation • Training 	Erica Engel-Ishida
10	<p>LAA IT Issues</p> <p><i>From this call forward there will be time at the end of this call for LAA's to bring LAA specific Ohio EPA IT issues to Erica's attention.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • F5 server getting replaced 1/20 at noon • Citrix down 9-10AM on 1/21 	Erica Engel-Ishida

Next call 2/17 9:30-11:30